FOOD STANDARDS
Australia New Zealand
Te Mana Kounga Kai – Ahitereiria me Aotearoa

29 July 2009
[12-09]

APPLICATION – ADMINISTRATIVE ASSESSMENT

A1025	**Date Received:** 3 June 2009 **Date Due for completion of Administrative Assessment:** 25 June 2009 **Date Administrative Assessment Completed:** 22 June 2009	
Applicant: Lanxess Deutschland GmbH via Brooke-Taylor and Co.		**Potentially Affected Standards in the Code**: 1.2.4 1.3.1 1.3.3
Title: Exempt Dimethyl Dicarbonate from Food Ingredient Labelling **Brief Description of Application**: To exempt dimethyl dicarbonate (DMDC) from the requirement to be included in the statement of ingredients when it is used in the permitted food categories as a microbial control agent.		
Procedure: **General** **Reasons why**: The Applicant is seeking a change to the labelling requirement for DMDC. Since DMDC is already regulated as a food additive in Schedule 1 of Standard 1.3.1 and the assessment of this Application can be completed with one round of public consultation it has been categorised as General procedure.	**Cost Category (General Procedure):** Up to 500 hours **Reasons why**: • already a permitted food additive; • would have limited social and economic impact; • only a limited consideration of food technology is required; • the risk management options would be straight forward; and • The amendment being sought to the Code would align with prevailing policies in other countries and will promote consistency with some trading partners.	**Estimated start work**: 1st Qtr 2010

DECISION

Application accepted **Date: 22 June 2009**

Has the Applicant claimed Confidential Commercial Information status?

Yes No ✓

What documents are affected? Not Applicable

Has the Applicant provided justification for Confidential Commercial Information status?
Not Applicable

Is the Application for a High Level Health Claim?

Yes No ✓

If so, has the Applicant made an election to have FSANZ give public notice calling for submissions under s.51 of the FSANZ Act?

Not Applicable

Has the Applicant sought special consideration e.g. novel food exclusivity, two separate applications which need to be progressed together e.g. a novel food and a related high level health claim.

Yes No ✓

Details: Not Applicable

Charges

Does FSANZ consider that the application is subject to ECCB?

Yes No ✓

If yes, indicate the reason:

Not Applicable

Does the Applicant want to expedite consideration of this Application?

Yes No Not known ✓

Application Handbook Requirements

Which Guidelines within the Part 3 of the *Application Handbook* apply to this Application:
3.1, 3.2.1

Does the Application meet the requirements of the relevant Guidelines?

Yes✓ No

Is the checklist completed?

Yes✓ No

What information is not provided? Not Applicable

Does the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure?

Yes✓ No

Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted? Yes No✓
Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of this Application? Yes✓ No **If yes, indicate which Procedure:** **General (Level 1)**
Other Comments or Relevant Matters:

CONSULTATION & ASSESSMENT TIMEFRAME

Consultation Strategy: Proposed length of public consultation period: General Procedure (6 weeks)	**Community Involvement Category:** **5** Case of minor significance or complexity or with little adverse impact on individual interests

Proposed Timeframe for Assessment:

'Early Bird Notification' due: 20 July 20098

General Procedure:

Commence Assessment (clock start)	Early February 2010
Completion of Assessment	Early June 2010
Public comment	Mid June- late July 2010
Board to complete Approval	Early November 2010
Notification to Ministerial Council	Mid November 2010
Anticipated gazettal if no review requested	Late January 2011